## The Constraint of Void Bias on primordial non-Gaussianity

Kwan Chuen Chan Sun-Yat Sen University

PT-chat@Kyoto, 12 Apr 2019

With Matteo Biagetti and Nico Hamaus

#### **Cosmic Voids**

- Voids are large under density region, occupy largest volume of the cosmic web, need large volume to get a good statistics
- Hold the information complementary to the halo clustering
- Easy to see by eyes, hard to define. Many algorithms proposed, define similar by not identical voids



#### Cross bias of void

• Voids as large scale structure tracers



KCC, Hamaus, Desjacques, 2014

#### Void bias measurement from simulation

• When  $\delta_v$  is taken as a free parameter, the void bias can approximately fit the simulation measurement. Best fit  $\delta_v \sim -1$ .



KCC, Hamaus, Desjacques, 2014

#### The void bias measurement from the SDSS data

• Using the SDSS data, Clampitt et al, 1507.08031 measured the void bias parameters in the configuration space.



• We can measure the void bias!!

## SO WHAT?



WHO CARES?

#### Local Primoridal non-Gaussianity (PNG)

- The initial density perturbation in the early universe is very close to Gaussian
- Various models of inflation predict small amount of PNG.
- The local PNG model often arises from the multi-field inflation.
- In local PNG, the Bardeen potential is

$$\Phi = \phi + f_{\rm NL}(\phi^2 - \langle \phi^2 \rangle)$$

 Measurement of the nonlinear parameter gives important insight on the inflation physics, it has been tightly constrained by the Planck mission's bispectrum measurement

$$f_{\rm NL} = 0.8 \pm 5.0$$

### Scale-dependent halo bias

- Dalal et al, 0710.4560, discovered that in the local PNG model, the largescale halo bias exhibits scale-dependent correction
- In the Gaussian case, there is no coupling between the large-scale mode and the small scale mode.
- Local PNG model introduces coupling btw the small-scale mode with the large-scale one
- This coupling modulates the small-scale halo formation

The PNG bias as a response of the halo mass function n to the local value of  $\sigma_8$  (Slosar et al, 0805.3580)

$$b^{\rm NG} = \frac{1}{n} 2f_{\rm NL} \mathcal{M}^{-1} \frac{\partial n}{\partial \ln \sigma_8(\boldsymbol{x})},$$
$$\mathcal{M}(k) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{c^2 k^2 T(k) D}{\Omega_{m0} H_0^2}.$$

#### Scale-dependent halo bias

 Assuming universal mass function, the PNG halo bias can be written as

$$b_{\rm h}^{\rm NG} = \frac{3f_{\rm nl}\Omega_{\rm m0}H_0^2}{k^2T(k)D(z)}\delta_{\rm c}(b_{\rm h}^{\rm G}-1).$$

• This prescription gives ~10% accuracy compared with simulation



### LSS constraint on $f_{\rm NL}$

- The PNG signals is on large scale, less likely to be contaminated by astrophysical effects. However, systematics like the stellar contamination can give false signals.
- Using the multiple galaxies data sample, Giannantonio et al, 1303.1349, got  $f_{\rm NL}$ = 5 +- 21. From quasar samples, Leistedt et al, 1311.2597 got -39<  $f_{\rm NL}$ < 23
- Many studies suggest that the bound on  $f_{\rm NL}$  is future experiments is expected to tightened by an order of magnitude or so, e.g. SPHEREx
- We explore the constraint on  $f_{\rm NL}$  by including the clustering info from voids.

### Simple scale dependent PNG bias

• If we take the simple SvDW void size distribution

$$\mathcal{F}(\nu, \delta_{\rm v}, \delta_{\rm c}) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu^2}{2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{|\delta_{\rm v}|}{\delta_{\rm c}}\frac{\mathcal{D}^2}{4\nu^2} - 2\frac{\mathcal{D}^4}{\nu^4}\right)$$

• Scale dependent bias

• 
$$b_{\rm v}^{\rm NG}(k) = \frac{3f_{\rm NL}\Omega_{\rm m0}H_0^2}{k^2 T(k)D(z)} \bigg(\nu^2 - 1 - \frac{|\delta_{\rm v}|\mathcal{D}^2}{2\delta_{\rm c}\nu^2} - \frac{8\mathcal{D}^4}{\nu^4}\bigg).$$

• In the high peak limit

$$b_{\rm v}^{\rm NG} = \frac{3f_{\rm NL}\Omega_{\rm m0}H_0^2}{k^2 T(k)D(z)}\delta_{\rm v}(b_{\rm v}^{\rm G}-1).$$

## **PNG void bias**

- The PNG void bias shows scale dependent bias at low k
- $\frac{d \ln n}{d \ln \sigma_8}$  gives accurate prediction



### Halo-void cross power spectrum

• The halo-void cross power spectrum probes  $b_v b_h$ 



 $M_{\rm h} = 1.1 \times 10^{13} \ M_{\odot} h^{-1}$ ,  $R_{\rm v} = 15.0 \ {\rm Mpc}/h$ 

#### Constraint on f\_NL from void clustering

- For void clustering, the shot noise is the most serious issue
- When the number density of the sample tracer is sufficiently high, by adding void clustering, the constraint is substantially improved.



# Conclusion

 The Gaussian void bias approaches a constant on large scale, while the PNG voids exhibits scale dependent bias similar to halos

- $\frac{d \ln}{d \ln \sigma_8}$  prediction agrees with numerical measurement well
- When the tracer density is sufficient high, the constraining power from void clustering can be comparable to the halos