Distinguishing the large-scale anisotropic imprint from the super-sample anisotropy

Kazuyuki Akitsu (Univ. of Tokyo/Kavli IPMU D3) in collaboration with Masahiro Takada, Yin Li, Naonori Sugiyama and Maresuke Shiraishi

based on Phys. Rev. D 95, 083522(2017) and Phys. Rev. D 97, 063527(2018) and in prep.

PTchat, April 9@YITP, Kyoto

Introduction : a variety of anisotropies

- 3D isotropy can be violated through
 - Redshift-space distortion : peculiar velocity of galaxies
 - Alcock-Paczynski effect : (mis)translation of distances $P(k) \rightarrow P(k, \mu)$
 - Initial imprint : vector field during the inflation \hat{p} : preferred direction $P(k) \rightarrow P(\mathbf{k}) = P(k) \left[1 + \sum_{M} g_{2M} f(k) Y_{2M}(\hat{k}) \right] = P(k) \left[1 + g_* \bar{f}(k) (\hat{k} \cdot \hat{p})^2 \right]$
 - Super-sample effect : non-linear evolution by gravity

$$P(k) \to P(\mathbf{k}) = P(k) \left[1 + \frac{\partial \ln P(k)}{\partial \delta_{\mathbf{b}}} \delta_{\mathbf{b}} + \frac{\partial \ln P(\mathbf{k})}{\partial \tau_{ij}} \tau_{ij} \right] \quad \begin{array}{l} \delta_{\mathbf{b}}, \ \tau_{ij} \\ \vdots \text{ super-sample mode} \end{array}$$

 Can we distinguish these anisotropic signals from the supersample effect?

Super-sample modes

Super-sample modes:

Large-scale perturbations beyond a finite survey volume

- Observations: finite volume
 - not directly observable

Theory: N-body simulations

- periodic boundary
- The super-sample modes affect observables via nonlinear mode-coupling (Super-sample effects)

Hamilton+'06, Baldauf+'11, de Putter+'12, Sherwin&Zaldarriaga'12, Takada&Hu'13,Li+'14ab



Finite Survey Volume or N-body simulation box

Effect of the Super-sample modes in observations

physical effects <- second derivatives of the potential



 observed power spectrum has a dependence of a position due to the presence of the super-sample modes δ_b, τ_{ij}

$$P(\mathbf{k}; \delta_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbf{x}), \tau_{ij}(\mathbf{x})) = P(k) \left[1 + \frac{\partial \ln P(k)}{\partial \delta_{\mathrm{b}}} \delta_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\partial \ln P(k)}{\partial \tau_{ij}} \tau_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \right]$$

Power Spectrum Response in redshift space

 Consistency relation: The squeezed bispectrum is related to the power spectrum responses to the long-mode.

$$\begin{split} \lim_{q \to 0} B_{ssm}(\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}) &= P_{\text{lin}}(q) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial P_s(\mathbf{k})}{\partial \delta_{\text{b}}} + \left(\hat{q}_i \hat{q}_j - \frac{\delta_{ij}^K}{3}\right) \frac{\partial P_s(\mathbf{k})}{\partial \tau_{ij}} \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{with } \langle \delta_s(\mathbf{k}_1) \delta_s(\mathbf{k}_2) \delta_{mL}(\mathbf{q}) \rangle &\equiv B_{ssm}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{q}) (2\pi)^3 \delta_D^3(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{q}) \\ \text{Standard Perturbation theory:} & -\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q} \\ \frac{\partial P_g(\mathbf{k}, \hat{n})}{\partial \tau_{ij}} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{8}{7} b_1 + 2b_{s^2} - b_1 \frac{d \ln P_{\text{lin}}(k)}{d \ln k} \end{bmatrix} \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j b_1 P_{\text{lin}}(k) & \mathbf{k} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} b_1^2 \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j + \frac{24}{7} b_1 \mu^2 \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j + 2b_{s^2} \mu^2 \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j - b_1 \mu \left(2\mu \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j + b_1 \hat{k}_i \hat{n}_j \right) \frac{d \ln P_{\text{lin}}(k)}{d \ln k} \end{bmatrix} f P_{\text{lin}}(k) \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{16}{7} \mu \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j + 4b_1 \hat{k}_i \hat{n}_j - \left(\mu \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j + 2b_1 \hat{k}_i \hat{n}_j \right) \frac{d \ln P_{\text{lin}}(k)}{d \ln k} \end{bmatrix} \mu^3 f^2 P_{\text{lin}}(k) \end{split}$$

$$+\left[\left(4\mu\hat{k}_{i}\hat{n}_{j}-\hat{n}_{i}\hat{n}_{j}\right)-\mu\hat{k}_{i}\hat{n}_{j}\frac{d\ln P_{\mathrm{lin}}(k)}{d\ln k}\right]\mu^{4}f^{3}P_{\mathrm{lin}}(k),$$

- The response to au_{ij} has angular dependence like $au_{ij}\hat{k}_i\hat{k}_j$, $au_{ij}\hat{k}_i\hat{n}_j$, $au_{ij}\hat{n}_i\hat{n}_j$
 - affect the cosmological distortion measurements (RSD&AP)

KA&Takada'18, Li+'18

Fisher Forecast in 2D power spectrum KA&Takada'18 degeneracy between the super-sample mode $\tau_{33} = \tau_{ij} \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j = \tau_{ij} \hat{z}_i \hat{z}_j$ • and cosmological distortion parameters 1.25 $(D_A, H(z), \beta)$ without τ_{33} prior H(z=0.5)with τ_{33} prior 1.00 0.75 z = 0.5 $V = 1 \; (\mathrm{Gpc}/h)^3$ 1.05 $\bar{n}_q = 1.0 \times 10^{-3} (h/\text{Mpc})^3$ D_A 1.00 0.95 1.05 733 1.00 0.95 0.75 0.9 1.0 1.1 0.95 1.00 1.05 1.00 1.25 β H(z = 0.5) D_A

SSS in the Bipolar Spherical Harmonics expansion

(KA, Sugiyama&Shiraishi in prep.)

- To distinguish the cosmological anisotropy from the super-sample anisotropy
 - → Bipolar Spherical Harmonics(BipoSH) expansion

 $P^{s}(\mathbf{k}, \hat{n}; \tau_{ij}) = \sum_{LM\ell\ell'} P^{LM}_{\ell\ell'}(k; \tau_{ij}) [X^{LM}_{\ell\ell'}]^{*}(\hat{k}, \hat{n}),$

 $X_{\ell\ell'}^{LM}(\hat{k},\hat{n}) = \{Y_{\ell}(\hat{k}) \otimes Y_{\ell'}(\hat{n})\}_{LM} = \sum \mathcal{C}_{\ell m\ell' m'}^{LM} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{k}) Y_{\ell' m'}(\hat{n})$

mm'

cf. Legendre expansion

 $P^{s}(k, \hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}; \tau_{ij}) = \sum P^{s}_{\ell}(k; \tau_{ij}) \mathcal{L}_{\ell}(\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n})$

The BipoSH separate the SS anisotropic signal from the RSD/AP

$$P_{\ell=\ell'}^{L=0} M^{=0}(k) = P_{\ell}(k) + R_{\ell}^{\delta_{b}}(k)\delta_{b}$$

$$P_{\ell\ell'}^{L=2} M^{=0}(k) = R_{\ell\ell'}^{\tau}(k)\tau_{33}$$

$$P_{\ell\ell'}^{L=2} M^{=\pm 1}(k) = R_{\ell\ell'}^{\tau}(k)(\mp\tau_{13} + i\tau_{23})$$

$$P_{\ell\ell'}^{L=2} M^{=\pm 2}(k) = R_{\ell\ell'}^{\tau}(k)(\tau_{11} - \tau_{22} \mp i\tau_{12})$$

Fisher Forecast in the BiPoSH expansion

(KA, Sugiyama&Shiraishi in prep.)



 Almost no correlation between AP/RSD/initial imprint and the super-sample mode.

Origin of decomposition: Sky curvature



- τ_{ij} violates the rotational symmetry in the plane perp. to the LOS.
 - can be extracted by making use of the BipoSH
- Rotational symmetry around the observer is also violated.

Comparison with initial anisotropic imprint

• Anisotropic (quadrupolar) imprint on the initial condition (e.g. vector field / super-curvature fluctuation) \hat{p} : preferred direction

$$P(\mathbf{k}) = P^{\text{iso}}(k) \left[1 + \sum_{M} g_{2M} f(k) Y_{2M}(\hat{k}) \right] = P^{\text{iso}}(k) \left[1 + g_* \bar{f}(k) (\hat{k} \cdot \hat{p})^2 \right]$$

- different angular couplings in redshift space
 - Initial imprint: $\hat{p}_i \hat{p}_j \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j$
 - Super-sample mode: $\tau_{ij}\hat{k}_i\hat{k}_j, \ \tau_{ij}\hat{k}_i\hat{n}_j, \ \tau_{ij}\hat{n}_i\hat{n}_j$



Summary

- Super-Sample modes : long-mode beyond the survey area
 - affect the short-mode observables via mode-coupling
- In redshift space, the SS mode generates the new anisotropy
 - degrade the cosmological parameter estimation (new error)
 - prior of the SSS or the BiPoSH helps to restore the degradation
 - BipoSH expansion is useful to extract the anisotropic SSS
 - can distinguish initial anisotropic imprints from anisotropic SSS
- Future works
 - Super-sample mode with the wide-angle effect in redshift space
 - response with N-body ("anisotropic separate universe simulation")