# An efficient parallel algorithm for estimating higher-order polyspectra

Donghui Jeong (Penn State)

PTChat@Kyoto, 10 April 2019





### Prof. Juhan Kim @ KIAS

### Work done by



### Joseph Tomlinson @ Penn State

# Higher order polyspectra

- In an statistically homogeneous universe
  - Bispectrum

• Trispectrum

Quadspectrum, Pentaspectrum, etc

 $\langle \delta(\mathbf{k}_1)\delta(\mathbf{k}_2)\delta(\mathbf{k}_3)\rangle = (2\pi)^3 B(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2,\mathbf{k}_3)\delta^D(\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2+\mathbf{k}_3)$ 

 $\langle \delta(\mathbf{k}_1) \delta(\mathbf{k}_2) \delta(\mathbf{k}_3) \delta(\mathbf{k}_4) \rangle = (2\pi)^3 T(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) \delta^D(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4)$ 

 $\langle \delta(\mathbf{k}_1)\delta(\mathbf{k}_2)\cdots\delta(\mathbf{k}_n)\rangle = (2\pi)^3 P_n(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2,\cdots,\mathbf{k}_n)\delta^D(\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2+\cdots+\mathbf{k}_n)$ 



### Naive estimator: bispectrum

- Let's focus on the monopole, because the extension is trivial
  - From the definition:

• We can estimate the bispectrum from direct sampling:

$$B(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{V_f}{V_{(123)}^B (2\pi)^3} \int_{k_1} d^3 q_1 \int_{k_2} d^3 q_2 \delta(\mathbf{q}_1) \delta(\mathbf{q}_2) \delta(-\mathbf{q}_{12})$$

 $\langle \delta(\mathbf{k}_1)\delta(\mathbf{k}_2)\delta(\mathbf{k}_3)\rangle = (2\pi)^3 B(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2,\mathbf{k}_3)\delta^D(\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2+\mathbf{k}_3)$ 

# **Complexity of Naive estimator**

- To measure bispectrum we need to loop over  $k_{1x}, k_{1y}, k_{1z}, k_{2x}, k_{2y}, k_{2z}$ (then, k<sub>3</sub> is determined from triangle condition)
- Complexity =  $(N_{max})^6$

$$B(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{V_f}{V_{(123)}^B (2\pi)^3}$$

### from $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (1, 1, 1)k_F$ to $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (N_{max}, N_{max}, N_{max})k_F$ ,

 $\int_{k_1} d^3 q_1 \int_{k_2} d^3 q_2 \delta(\mathbf{q}_1) \delta(\mathbf{q}_2) \delta(-\mathbf{q}_{12})$ 

### Roman's estimator

$$\begin{split} B(k_1,k_2,k_3) &= \frac{V_f}{V_{(123)}^B(2\pi)^3} \int_{k_1} d^3q_1 \int_{k_2} d^3q_2 \int_{k_3} d^3q_3 \delta(\mathbf{q}_1) \delta(\mathbf{q}_2) \delta(\mathbf{q}_3) \delta_D(\mathbf{q}_{123}) \\ &= \frac{V_f}{V_{(123)}^B(2\pi)^3} \int_{k_1} d^3q_1 \int_{k_2} d^3q_2 \int_{k_3} d^3q_3 \delta(\mathbf{q}_1) \delta(\mathbf{q}_2) \delta(\mathbf{q}_3) \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{q}_{123}} \\ &= \frac{V_f}{V_{(123)}^B(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \int_{k_1} d^3q_1 \delta(\mathbf{q}_1) e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{q}_1} \right) \left( \int_{k_2} d^3q_2 \delta(\mathbf{q}_2) e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{q}_2} \right) \left( \int_{k_3} d^3q_3 \delta(\mathbf{q}_3) \delta(\mathbf{q}_3) e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{q}_3} \right) \\ &= \frac{V_f}{V_{(123)}^B(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^3} I_{k_1}(\mathbf{x}) I_{k_2}(\mathbf{x}) I_{k_3}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= I_{k_i}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{k_i} d^3q_1 \delta(\mathbf{q}) e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{q}} = \int d^3q_1 \tilde{I}_{k_i}(\mathbf{q}) e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{q}} \end{split}$$



# **Complexity of Roman's estimator**

- To measure bispectrum we need to loop over k<sub>1</sub>, k<sub>2</sub>, k<sub>3</sub> and need to calculate the inner product of 3D matrices
- Complexity =  $(N_{max})^6$

$$B(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{V_f}{V_{(123)}^B (2\pi)}$$

from  $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (1, 1, 1)k_F$  to  $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (N_{max}, N_{max}, N_{max})k_F$ ,

 $\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^3} I_{k_1}(\mathbf{x}) I_{k_2}(\mathbf{x}) I_{k_3}(\mathbf{x})$ 

### Yet, Roman's estimator is much faster!

- Loop is only for  $k_1, k_2, k_3$ : much fewer computation
- Matrix inner product is much faster than irregular sampling of the matrix

$$B(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{V_f}{V_{(123)}^B (2\pi)^3} \int_{k_1} d^3 q_1 \int_{k_2} d^3 q_2 \delta(\mathbf{q}_1) \delta(\mathbf{q}_2) \delta(-\mathbf{q}_{12})$$
$$B(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{V_f}{V_{(123)}^B (2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 x}{(2\pi)^3} I_{k_1}(\mathbf{x}) I_{k_2}(\mathbf{x}) I_{k_3}(\mathbf{x})$$





### Memory requirement

- To get an unbiased bispectrum,  $N_{mesh} > 3 N_{max}$ for each  $I_{ki}(x)$ , and we need  $N_{max}$  of them.
- We therefore need memory space for at least  $N_{max}(N_{mesh})^3 > 27 (N_{max})^4$ numbers

• With single precision (Float32), already <u>27 GB for N<sub>max</sub>=128</u>.

# Naive parallelization of the estimator

- Naive parallelization : Run each  $I_{ki}(x)$  on one CPU
- Why bad? product

$$B(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{V_f}{V_{(123)}^B (2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^3} I_{k_1}(\mathbf{x}) I_{k_2}(\mathbf{x}) I_{k_3}(\mathbf{x})$$

We need to pull out the full 3D array to calculate the inner





# Efficient parallelization

- Multiplication only done locally!
- Minimize the interCPU communication:
  - When FFT the last dimension
  - When reducing the sum

$$k_2, k_3) = \frac{V_f}{V_{(123)}^B (2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 x}{(2\pi)^3} I_{k_1}(\mathbf{x}) I_{k_2}(\mathbf{x}) I_k$$





### Efficient parallelization, result





### C vs. Julia





### Visualizing bispectrum $k_1 \ge k_2 \ge k_3$ **k**<sub>3</sub> 0.1 0.14 equilateral (Ki=K2=K3) 0.12 0.08 0.10 0.06 [*h*/Mpc] [h/Mpc] equine (ki=2k2=2k3) folded (ki=2k2=2k3) <u>~</u> 0.04 <u>ې</u> 0.06 0.02 0.04 0.02 $\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.12 \\ 0.14 \end{array}$ **K**<sub>2</sub> squeezed $(k_1 = k_2 \gg k_3)$ 0.00 0.02 0.08 $0.02 \stackrel{0.04}{=} \stackrel{0.06}{=} \stackrel{0.08}{=} \stackrel{0.10}{=} \stackrel{0.12}{=} \stackrel{0.14}{=} \stackrel{0.02}{=} \stackrel{0.04}{=} \stackrel{0.06}{=} \stackrel{0.08}{=} \stackrel{0.10}{=} \stackrel{0.12}{=} \stackrel{0.14}{=} \stackrel{0.12}{=} \stackrel{0.14}{=} \stackrel{0.06}{=} \stackrel{0.08}{=} \stackrel{0.10}{=} \stackrel{0.12}{=} \stackrel{0.14}{=} \stackrel{0.04}{=} \stackrel{0.06}{=} \stackrel{0.08}{=} \stackrel{0.10}{=} \stackrel{0.10}{=} \stackrel{0.12}{=} \stackrel{0.14}{=} \stackrel{0.04}{=} \stackrel{0.06}{=} \stackrel{0.08}{=} \stackrel{0.10}{=} \stackrel{0.10}{=} \stackrel{0.12}{=} \stackrel{0.14}{=} \stackrel{0.04}{=} \stackrel{0.06}{=} \stackrel{0.08}{=} \stackrel{0.08}{=} \stackrel{0.10}{=} \stackrel{0.10}{=} \stackrel{0.12}{=} \stackrel{0.14}{=} \stackrel{0.14}{=} \stackrel{0.04}{=} \stackrel{0.06}{=} \stackrel{0.08}{=} \stackrel{0.10}{=} \stackrel{0.10}{=} \stackrel{0.10}{=} \stackrel{0.12}{=} \stackrel{0.14}{=} \stackrel{0.04}{=} \stackrel{0.06}{=} \stackrel{0.08}{=} \stackrel{0.08}{=} \stackrel{0.10}{=} \stackrel{0.10}{=} \stackrel{0.12}{=} \stackrel{0.14}{=} \stackrel{0.14}{=} \stackrel{0.04}{=} \stackrel{0.06}{=} \stackrel{0.08}{=} \stackrel{0.10}{=} \stackrel{0.10}{=} \stackrel{0.12}{=} \stackrel{0.14}{=} \stackrel{0.14}{=} \stackrel{0.04}{=} \stackrel{0.06}{=} \stackrel{0.06}{=} \stackrel{0.08}{=} \stackrel{0.10}{=} \stackrel{0.10}{=} \stackrel{0.14}{=} \stackrel{0.$ 0.04 0.06 0.06 0.04 0.08 0.02 0.1 0 KI k<sub>2</sub> [h/Mpc] k<sub>1</sub> [h/Mpc]



dlnP/dlnk > 0



### The slope of power spectrum dlnP/dlnk ≲ 0



# Visualizing bispectrum $k_1 \ge k_2 \ge k_3$

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

0.9

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

Bispectrum  $B(k_1, k_2, k_3)$ 

### Linear SPT N-body

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

total number of triangles. Spikes at  $k_1 = k_2 + k_3$ 

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Number of triangles

# Using the same estimator, but with $\delta = 1$ , we can calculate the

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Why stop at triangle? Here's the angle averaged Trispectrum!

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Number of quadrilaterals

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Number of pentagons

### Why stop at trispectrum? Here's the angle averaged quadspectrum!

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Number of hexagons

### Why stop at quadspectrum? Here's the angle averaged pentaspectrum!

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_5.jpeg)

# Application: polyspectra with GridSF

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

x [h<sup>-1</sup>Mpc] Taruya, Nishimich, Jeong (2018)

_			

### Conclusion

- We present an efficient parallel algorithm for calculating higher-order polyspectra
- requirement of Scoccimarro estimator, and the parallel version is quite fast!
- the higher-order polyspectra!

• With the parallelization, we can overcome the high memory

• Applying it to GridSPT, we can calculate the SPT prediction for

![](_page_27_Figure_7.jpeg)